

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,065		03/26/2004	Jay A. Haines	029319-0201	8080
30542	7590	10/23/2006		EXAMINER	
FOLEY &	LARDN	IER LLP	BASHORE, ALAIN L		
P.O. BOX 80278 SAN DIEGO, CA 92138-0278			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN DIEGO	), CA :	72130-0276		1762	
			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1.			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/811,065	HAINES, JAY A.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alain L. Bashore	1762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, whichever is later. In			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	ΓE below);			
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendment canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:		I be entered and an explanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a			

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Alain L. Bashore **Primary Examiner** Art Unit: 1762

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

11. 🖸 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The supplemental submission including the entire declaration to Desjarlais has been received and considered. The opinion of "collective wisdom in the field" is noted, but does not overcome the rejection of record. The opinion is not considered a "teaching away" from the prior art.

Further amendment to the independent claims was discussed that may put the application in condition for allowance (see attached interview summary form).